

### Transaction Monitoring and Auditing ISACA – Houston Chapter May 17, 2007

John Harrison Managing Director Protiviti, Inc.



### In this Session...

- We will explore the largely untapped potential of transaction monitoring & auditing
- We will discuss, among other things:
  - Why this approach is gaining momentum
  - How transaction analysis can be used by management and auditors
  - How to get started
  - The use of transaction tools
  - Examples of interesting transaction types
  - Lessons learned





# Defined

- For our purposes, *transaction monitoring* can be defined as any activity related to continuously examining a company's transactions for risk via:
  - data anomalies,
  - exceeded thresholds,
  - fluctuations,
  - and sensitive activities
- Transaction auditing assumes the same focus but may occur on a periodic or one-time basis





### **Historical Background**

- Some Computer Assisted Audit Techniques (CAATs) have been used for over a decade
- Continuous Control Monitoring has been an academic emphasis for years
- Certain isolated data mining / business intelligence initiatives have been conducted
- Some SOX testers have performed 100% tests using automated queries





# Why now?

- There are a number of forces converging that is making this a top-of-mind topic
  - 80% of CFOs say that the costs of SOX have outweighed the benefits
  - Recent changes from the PCAOB and SEC allow for fresh risk-based coverage alternatives
  - Transaction monitoring can provide a nonintrusive, self-documenting technique that can also provide benefits beyond compliance





# Why now?

- Audit shops are shifting back to forensics
  - Looking to add more value than simple pass/fail compliance testing
  - There is increased interest in fraud
- Management is looking for more frequent and real time indicators of risk
- Enterprise Risk Management is firming up
- Emerging technologies are making continuous monitoring more practical





### What are some scenarios?

- Internal Audit annually tests for risk anomalies and fraud indicators, but over 100% of the population
- SOX tests use automated transaction analysis or rely on management's monitoring processes instead of manual sample tests
- Management calculates the "real" impact of a deficiency by looking over the whole year of data



### What are some scenarios?

- Process Owners alerted to critical unexpected events real-time
- Control owners receive focused data to monitor and continually improve their areas
- Certifiers consider substantiated risk indicators each quarter for their 302 assertions





- Look for value
  - Start with something that has potential for an immediate and relatable impact (prove the concept)
  - Opportunity for potential big bottom line results
  - Items that are significant within the risk-based, top-down prioritization
  - Controls that are time consuming and expensive to test manually





- Look for value
  - Areas of past issues
  - Areas more prone to fraud
  - Business rules that should be consistently followed, but can't be systematically enforced





- Look for easy
  - Some of the tools available have pre-built queries/rules that map to common systems
  - Preferably the data is in one system
  - The system should allow for easy and repeated access to the data



- Look for easy
  - Avoid business rules or patterns that are overly complex to interpret or conclude on
    - Start with something that is relatively simple and straightforward
  - Helpful if previously manually conducted at least once (designed/proved out)





### Tools are making it happen

- Data Mining / Business Intelligence
   ACL, IDEA, Oversight, Cognos, Business Objects, etc.
- Integrated ERP GRC Modules
   SAP GRC (formerly Virsa), Oracle GRC, etc.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> Party ERP Products
  - Approva, Logical Apps, D2C, etc.
- Database
  - Oracle Audit Vault, Lumigent, etc.
- Native exception/edit reports & Custom Reports



### Interesting Transaction Types Ex's of Master Data Anomalies / Fraud Indicators

- General Duplicate, incomplete, or obsolete records
- Asset Unusual useful lives compared to asset class
- Customer Credit limits do not adequately correlate with credit ratings

**Employee** Invalid SSN, Invalid Address

Vendor

Multiple changes within period (manipulating and then covering tracks)





#### Master Data Integrity

#### **Duplicates**

Description, location, serial number

#### **Missing**

Description, location, asset class, serial #

Customer

Asset

Name, bank account, address, telephone #

**Employee** 

Name, Address, bank account Customer name, address, phone, zip

Name, address, SSN, telephone #, Zip, dept.

Vendor

Name, address, telephone #

Name, address, Telephone #, Zip

#### **Ex's of Master Data Anomalies / Fraud Indicators**

Vendor address matches employee address Vendor bank account matches employee bank account

Multiple changes within period (manipulating and then covering tracks)

With only post office box

No activity since \_



Vendor



#### **Unauthorized Activity**

(Conducted by someone outside of expected authorized group)

- Asset Updates
- Vendor Updates
- Employee Updates
- Security Updates
- Program / Configuration Updates





#### **Segregation of Duty Exploitation**

- Accountant approving/posting their own journal entries
- The same person creating a vendor & paying that vendor
- Creating a fictitious customer and processing credit memos
- IT entry or edits of production data





#### **Transaction Checks**

- Vendor payments to an employee's bank account or address
- Invoice amounts Benford's Law analysis
- Duplicate payments invoice date, invoice #, amount, vendor name
- Payment terms on invoice different than terms on vendor record
- Concurrent system usage compared to purchased software licenses





#### **Transaction Checks (cont...)**

- Post close entries
- System balancing (interface matching)
- Unusually large payments
- Repeating payments to "one-time" vendors
- Payments without an invoice reference





### Lessons Learned

- Don't expect to monitor the world
  - Let risk significance and potential benefits drive a rational phased roll-out
- Don't underestimate the time it takes to do this right
  - aligning the people
  - understanding the data
  - building in a sustainable response plan





### Lessons Learned

- Get a multi-disciplined team committed

   IT, Business, Audit involved in definition
   Define who will own the output (who responds)
- Must define specific enough criteria to produce a reasonably filtered list
  - Otherwise, owners will simply ignore or neglect other important responsibilities





### Lessons Learned

- Carefully tailor default rules to your environment / data
  - don't assume out-of-the box queries and reports will immediately provide what you need
- Check and double-check the assumptions
  - For proper use of the source data
  - And rational interpretation of the results





# Thank you!

For More Information: John Harrison Managing Director Protiviti, Inc.

john.harrison@protiviti.com

(713) 314-4996



